

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,499	01/27/2004	Daniel Sherwin	206/03834	3489	
75	590 12/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
William H. Dippert, Esq.			MAHONEY, CHRISTOPHER E		
Reed Smith LL					
29th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
599 Lexington	Avenue		2851		
New York, NY					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,499	SHERWIN, DANIEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher E Mahoney	2851	IX.
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 January 2004</u> is/are			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	-	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No. <u>10/204,647</u> . ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
 2) Notice of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>Jan 27, 2004</u>. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/766,499

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 10/204,647, filed on December 4, 2002.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,731,867. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims anticipate the application claims. They claim substantially similar subject matter with one marked difference being that the patent claims a plurality of wheels and the application claims at least one counter rotating object.

U.S. Patent No. 6,731,867 recites a rotating (claim 1, line 5) transparent disc (claim 1, line 2) and at least one counter rotating object (plurality of wheels) whose rotation substantially cancels out angular momentum (claim 3, lines 3-4, claim 8) wherein the counter rotating object supports the disc (claim 1, line 3) and applies rotational force to the disc (claim 1, lines 4-5). Patent claim 6 recites the rubber pin, claim 9 the ±45° to expected movement axes, claim 12, the wiring that connects the DC motor, 13-14 the snap connections.

Claims 15-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,731,867.
6,731,867 teaches the salient features of the claimed invention except for the rotational speeds.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize speeds of at least 2000 RPM to centrifugally remove water for the purpose of optimally keeping the lens clear. The applicant should note that it has been held that where the general working conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsui (U.S. Patent No. 5,050,975). Tsui teaches a lens protection mechanism comprising a rotating transparent disc 35 and at least one counter rotating object 32 whose rotation substantially cancels out angular momentum caused by the rotation of the disc. Since 32 are in contact with 35 and rotating opposite in direction to 35 the net angular momentum equals 0.

Claims 1, 3 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MacPherson (U.S. Patent No. 2,298,063). MacPherson teaches a lens protection mechanism comprising a rotating transparent disc 1 and at least one counter rotating object 14/26/32 whose rotation substantially cancels out angular momentum caused by the rotation of the disc. The counter rotating object applies rotational force to the disc.

Art Unit: 2851

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher E Mahoney whose telephone number is (571) 272-2122. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher E Mahoney Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2851